



Preparation of onion-like multilayered particles comprising mainly poly(*iso*-butyl methacrylate)-*block*-polystyrene by two-step AGET ATRP[☆]

Yukiya Kitayama, Mika Yorizane, Yasuyuki Kagawa, Hideto Minami, Per B. Zetterlund, Masayoshi Okubo*

Department of Chemical Science and Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 March 2009

Received in revised form

21 April 2009

Accepted 25 April 2009

Available online 3 May 2009

Keywords:

Particle

Morphology

Atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP)

ABSTRACT

Synthesis of multilayered composite polymer particles comprising mainly poly(*iso*-butyl methacrylate)-*block*-polystyrene (PiBMA-*b*-PS) has been carried out by the use of two-step activator generated by electron transfer for atom transfer radical polymerization (AGET ATRP) in aqueous micro-suspension. PiBMA-Br macroinitiator seed particles were prepared in the first step, followed by swelling with styrene and second step (seeded) polymerization. The blocking efficiency in the second step was found to be crucial with regards to the resulting particle morphology. A disordered sea-island morphology was obtained when the blocking efficiency was 47% (73% conversion), whereas a blocking efficiency of 61% (71% conversion) resulted in the formation of multilayered particles. High blocking efficiency can be achieved by careful adjustment of the activation rate by proper choice of polymerization temperature and amount of reducing agent (ascorbic acid).

© 2009 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Composite polymer particles find a variety of applications such as in coatings and impact-modifiers [1–3]. The performance of composite polymer particles strongly depends on the morphology, and consequently extensive research has been aimed at controlling particle morphology [4–15]. Multilayered particles have a highly complex morphology comprising an often relatively high number of alternating polymer layers. Multilayered particles containing dyes in each layer may possess useful properties for applications such as three-dimensional optical data storage in information technology and security data encryption. The incorporation of dyes in different phases of the material minimizes energy transfer in the recording medium, while a highly regular structure of the material provides high-resolution recording [16,17].

Multilayered particles can be prepared by sequential seeded polymerizations [18,19], although this is a tedious process. An alternative and entirely different synthetic route to multilayered particles entails the initial preparation of micron-sized, monodisperse poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA)/polystyrene (PS) core-shell particles by seeded dispersion polymerization (SDP) of styrene (S) with PMMA seed particles in ethanol/water. These core-shell particles had a thermodynamically unstable morphology (kinetic as opposed to

thermodynamic morphology control) [20,21]. Swelling of the particles with a good solvent allowed formation of the thermodynamically stable morphology, a multilayered “onion-like” structure, which was “fixed” by subsequent evaporation of the solvent [22]. PMMA-*b*-PS or PMMA-*g*-PS, formed during the SDP, played an important role as compatibilizer during formation of the multilayered structure [23]. Such multilayered particles have also been prepared by slow evaporation of toluene from toluene droplets with dissolved PS-*b*-PMMA having similar block lengths ($M_{PS} = 5.0 - 17.0 \times 10^4$ g/mol; $M_{PMMA} = 5.4 - 16.8 \times 10^4$ g/mol; $M_w/M_n = 1.04 - 1.19$) [24].

In view of the above, one wonders whether it is possible to prepare multilayered particles in one single step, i.e. by combining the synthesis of block copolymer and polymer particles. Traditionally, block copolymer has been synthesized by living anionic polymerization [25]. However, anionic polymerization is difficult to apply to aqueous systems. On the other hand, controlled/living radical polymerization (CLRP) [26], developed in the past two decades, has been successfully applied to aqueous dispersed systems [27,28], and is a powerful tool for block copolymer synthesis. Several CLRP methods have been developed, including nitroxide-mediated radical polymerization (NMP) [29,30], atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) [31–34], reversible addition/fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization [35–38], and organotellurium-mediated radical polymerization (TERP) [39–42].

In previous work, we succeeded for the first time in the preparation of multilayered particles comprising poly(*iso*-butyl methacrylate)-*block*-polystyrene (PiBMA-*b*-PS) directly in an aqueous medium by two-step ATRP without post-treatment, employing the

[☆] Part of CCCXXVII the series “Studies on Suspension and Emulsion”.

* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +81 78 803 6161.

E-mail address: okubo@kobe-u.ac.jp (M. Okubo).

ethyl-2-bromoisobutyrate/CuBr/4,4'-dinonyl-2,2'-dipyridyl (dNbpy) initiator system [43,44]. The first and second steps were, respectively, carried out by direct ATRP in miniemulsion of *iso*-butyl methacrylate (*i*BMA) and by seeded polymerization (seeded ATRP) of S using poly(*i*BMA)-Br (PiBMA) macroinitiator seed particles. However, the polymerization rate (R_p) of S in the second step was very low (90% conversion in 96 h at 70 °C) and the reproducibility was fairly poor. This would be caused by the inevitable oxidation of Cu(I) to Cu(II) due to oxygen entering the system during the addition of S, which is a common problem when implementing direct ATRP in aqueous dispersed systems. The problem of Cu(I) oxidation can be circumvented by use of the activator generated by electron transfer (AGET) ATRP technique, recently developed by Matyjaszewski and coworkers [45]. In AGET ATRP, the initially present Cu(II) complex is reduced to Cu(I) complex (the activator) in situ by a reducing agent such as ascorbic acid. Thus, during the oxygen removal process prior to polymerization, only Cu(II) is present, not Cu(I), and oxidation is therefore not a concern, making AGET ATRP highly suitable for ATRP in aqueous dispersed systems [27,28,46–48].

In the present work, two-step AGET ATRP has been employed for the preparation of onion-like multilayered PiBMA-*b*-PS particles. The results have been analyzed with particular focus on how the blocking efficiency (i.e. the efficiency of block copolymer formation) affects the particle morphology.

2. Experimental part

2.1. Materials

S and *i*BMA were purified by distillation under reduced pressure in a nitrogen atmosphere. The water used in all experiments was obtained from Elix[®] UV (Millipore, Japan) purification system and had a resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹. Ethyl-2-bromoisobutyrate (EBiB) (Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan), 4,4'-dinonyl-2,2'-dipyridyl (dNbpy) (Aldrich Chem Co. Ltd.), CuBr₂ (Nacalai Tesque Inc., Kyoto, Japan), ascorbic acid (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), Polyoxyethylene(20) oleyl ether (Brij98) (Aldrich Chem Co. Ltd.), and *n*-tetradecyltrimethylammonium bromide (TTAB) (Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) were used as received.

2.2. Preparation of PiBMA seed (macroinitiator) particles by first step AGET ATRP

The organic phase (EBiB 343 mg, 1.75 mmol; dNbpy 2.875 g, 3.5 mmol; *i*BMA 50 g, 350 mmol) was mixed with an aqueous (430 g) solution of CuBr₂ (785 mg, 3.5 mmol) and Brij98 (2.5 g), and stirred vigorously for 1 min at 5200 rpm using a homogenizer. After addition of an aqueous solution (1 g) of ascorbic acid (248 mg, 1.4 mmol), AGET ATRP was conducted in a glass reactor (TEM V-1000, Taiatsu Techno Corp., Tokyo, Japan) at 40 °C at a pressure of 2.0 atm under nitrogen atmosphere. The conversion was measured by gas chromatography (GC-18A, Shimadzu Co., Kyoto, Japan). The polymerization was stopped by bubbling air through the mixture.

2.3. Preparation of PiBMA-*b*-PS particles by second step AGET ATRP of styrene

After PiBMA-Br seed particles were swollen with S (50 g) at room temperature overnight, the emulsion (10 g) was transferred to a glass ampoule and degassed using several N₂/vacuum cycles, followed by the addition of an aqueous (1 g) solution of ascorbic acid (5.62 mg, 31.9 μmol, 1.0 equivalent ratio to CuBr₂ including in this system). The emulsion was once again degassed with several N₂/vacuum cycles and sealed off under vacuum. Second step AGET

ATRP was carried out in sealed glass tubes at 70 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. An alternative procedure was employed to improve the blocking efficiency: An aqueous miniemulsion of S comprising 225 g water, 50 g S, 1.25 g Brij98 and 2.5 g TTAB was prepared by ultrasonication for 10 min. This miniemulsion was subsequently added to the seed emulsion comprising PiBMA-Br seed particles, allowing the seed particles to swell with S for 2 h (the use of a S miniemulsion reduces the time required for swelling to occur compared to when simply adding S monomer to the seed emulsion). Second step AGET ATRP was carried out at 40 °C for 2 h, followed by at 70 °C for prescribed times, using an equivalent ratio of CuBr₂ to ascorbic acid of 0.8.

2.4. Molecular weight measurements

Number-average molecular weights (M_n s), weight-average molecular weights (M_w s), and molecular weight distributions (MWDs) were measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) with two S/divinylbenzene gel columns (TOSOH corporation, TSKgel GMH_{HR}-H, 7.8 mm i.d. × 30 cm) using THF as eluent at 40 °C at the flow rate of 1.0 ml/min employing refractive index (TOSOH RI-8020/21) and ultraviolet detectors (TOSOH UV-8II) (Table 1). The columns were calibrated with six standard PS samples (1.05 × 10³–5.48 × 10⁶, $M_w/M_n = 1.01$ –1.15). The theoretical molecular weight ($M_{n,th}$) was according to $M_{n,th} = \alpha[M]_0M_M/[I]_0$, where α is the fractional conversion of monomer, M_M is the molecular weight of monomer, and $[M]_0$ and $[I]_0$ are the initial concentrations of monomer and initiator (alkyl halide), respectively.

2.5. Observation of particle morphology

The dried particles were stained with ruthenium tetroxide (RuO₄) vapor at room temperature for 30 min in the presence of 1% RuO₄ aqueous solution, and subsequently embedded in an epoxy matrix, cured at room temperature for 24 h, and finally microtomed. Ultrathin cross-sections of 100 nm in thickness were observed with a Hitachi H-7500 transmission electron microscope (TEM) at a voltage of 100 kV.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Preparation of PiBMA-Br seed particles

AGET ATRP of *i*BMA was carried out at 40 °C using Brij98 as colloidal stabilizer. The polymerization proceeded smoothly without induction period, with the conversion reaching approximately 80% in 3 h. The polymerization was not taken to conversions > 80% in order to minimize loss of livingness at high conversion.

Fig. 1 shows the MWDs, M_n and M_w/M_n as functions of conversion. The MWDs shifted to higher molecular weight with increasing conversion (Fig. 1a), and the experimental M_n agreed very well with the theoretical values. The level of control was very good as evidenced by narrow MWDs with $M_w/M_n < 1.3$ (Fig. 1b), in fact significantly better than obtained in our previous work using direct ATRP to prepare PiBMA-Br seed particles [43]. Thus, first step AGET ATRP was successfully employed to prepare micrometer-sized

Table 1
Number-average molecular weight (M_n), weight-average molecular weight (M_w) and M_w/M_n of PiBMA and PiBMA-*b*-PS measured by RI and UV detection from GPC.

	M_n (×10 ⁴)	M_w (×10 ⁴)	PDI
PiBMA-Br (RI)	2.5	3.1	1.3
PiBMA- <i>b</i> -PS (RI)	7.6	19	2.4
PiBMA- <i>b</i> -PS (UV)	11	21	1.8




Fig. 1. MWDs (a), M_n and M_w/M_n (b) of PiBMA-Br prepared at various conversions of first step AGET ATRP of iBMA at 40 °C.

(1–3 μm) PiBMA-Br seed particles comprising well-defined polymer of high livingness.

3.2. Preparation of PiBMA-*b*-PS particles by second step AGET ATRP

Fig. 2 shows the conversion–time plot for the second step AGET ATRP of S at 70 °C using PiBMA-Br seed particles. The polymerization proceeded smoothly, reaching 90% in 19 h. The polymerization rate was markedly higher than in our previous work using direct ATRP at the same temperature, where 96 h was required for the polymerization to proceed to 90% conversion [43]. The higher R_p was most likely mainly caused by the AGET ATRP resulting in a lower concentration of Cu(II) compared to the direct ATRP.

The particle morphology was examined by TEM analysis of ultrathin cross-sections of the composite particles at 90% conversion, revealing a disordered structure as opposed to a multilayered structure (Fig. 3). This is in sharp contrast to the well-defined multilayered structure observed in our previous work under similar conditions using direct ATRP [43].

Fig. 4a shows RI-detector derived MWDs of the original PiBMA-Br macroinitiator as well as the MWDs obtained in the seeded polymerization. It is apparent that a significant fraction of the macroinitiator is in fact not living, evidenced by the low molecular weight




Fig. 2. Conversion vs time plots for second step AGET ATRP of S with PiBMA-Br seed particles at 70 °C (open circles), and 40 °C for 2 h, followed by 70 °C (closed circles). $[\text{CuBr}_2]/[\text{AsA}]$ (equivalent ratio) = 1/1 (open circles) and 1/0.8 (closed circles).

peak at $\log M \approx 4.4$ that remains even at high conversion, coinciding with the original macroinitiator peak. This is further reinforced by comparison of the RI- and UV-detector derived MWDs (Fig. 4a). The low molecular weight peak (macroinitiator) comprises iBMA units that are “UV-invisible”, and consequently the non-living fraction of the macroinitiator is not detected by the UV detector. The M_n values (from RI detector) increased with conversion, but were significantly higher than $M_{n,th}$ (Fig. 4b; calculated based on the number of macroinitiator chains, living or not). This discrepancy cannot be explained by a portion of the macroinitiator being dead – that would not alter M_n as the total number of chains is unaffected (although M_w would increase) – although it does explain the increase in M_w/M_n with conversion. The first step AGET ATRP of iBMA proceeded with high livingness (Fig. 1), and it can therefore be deduced that the main fraction of the dead macroinitiator was actually formed during the initial stage of the second step AGET ATRP of S.




Fig. 3. TEM photograph of ultrathin cross-sections of RuO₄-stained PiBMA-*b*-PS particles prepared by second step AGET ATRP at 70 °C (91% conversion). $[\text{CuBr}_2]/[\text{AsA}]$ (equivalent ratio) = 1/1.




Fig. 4. MWDs (a), M_n (open circles) and M_w/M_n (closed circles) (b) of PiBMA-*b*-PS prepared at various conversions of second step AGET ATRP of S with PiBMA-Br seed particles at 70 °C. $[CuBr_2]/[AsA]$ (equivalent ratio) = 1/1. The full line and dashed line MWDs are attained from RI and UV detectors, respectively. Full line (b) is $M_{n,th}$.

Fig. 5 shows the livingness during the second step AGET ATRP. The livingness was estimated by transforming the MWDs (RI- and UV-detector derived) to number distributions ($w(\log M)/M^2$) vs. M . The distributions were subsequently normalized such that the high molecular weight segments were exactly superimposed (reasonable considering that the high molecular weight portion of polymer would be successfully extended macroinitiator, and therefore the UV- and RI-responses would be proportional to one another). The number fraction of non-extended macroinitiator relative to the total number of chains was then simply obtained as the integral of the UV-derived number distribution divided by the RI-derived number distribution (blocking efficiency: macroinitiator livingness (the number fraction of PiBMA-*b*-PS) = 100 – percentage of non-extended macroinitiator (the number fraction of PiBMA)). The livingness of the macroinitiator was approximately 72%, whereas the livingness during the seeded polymerization remained close to constant (blocking efficiency 47% at 73% conversion). Thus, the livingness decreased quite significantly during the early and/or initial stage of the seeded polymerization. The composite particles obtained therefore comprise a very significant amount of dead PiBMA in addition to the block copolymer (PiBMA-*b*-PS). It appeared likely that the block copolymer content was not sufficiently high for




Fig. 5. Livingness as a function of conversion for second step AGET ATRP of S with PiBMA-Br seed particles at 70 °C (open circles), and at 40 °C for 2 h followed by at 70 °C (closed circles). $[CuBr_2]/[AsA]$ (equivalent ratio) = 1/1 (open circles) and 1/0.8 (closed circles). The triangle shows the livingness of the PiBMA macroinitiator.

formation of multilayered particles, thus resulting in a disordered structure instead.

In our previous work [24], onion-like multilayered particles were prepared by evaporation of toluene from polymer/toluene droplets in SDS aqueous medium when the PS-*b*-PMMA ($M_{PS} = 1.7 \times 10^5$ g/mol; $M_{PMMA} = 1.68 \times 10^5$ g/mol)/PMMA ($M_{PMMA} = 1.3 \times 10^4$ g/mol) ratio was 100/0 and 80/20 (w/w). However, when the homopolymer content was increased to 50/50 (w/w), a cylinder-like morphology was instead obtained. Theoretical and experimental works on polymer films comprising block copolymer have revealed that lamellar morphology is not obtained when the amount of homopolymer present exceeds some critical limit [49,50]. This has also been observed for the morphologies of PS/PS-*b*-PMMA/PMMA composite particles formed by the solvent evaporation method from toluene droplets with dissolved the polymers [24]. The above studies cannot be directly compared with the present work mainly because the latter entails simultaneous block copolymer formation and morphology development. However, the results are qualitatively consistent with the present findings in the sense that if the homopolymer content is too high, multilayered particles are not obtained.

3.3. Improving the blocking efficiency

An important feature of the livingness vs. conversion plot in Fig. 5 is that the livingness remained close to constant during the seeded polymerization. This suggests that the blocking efficiency (initiation) of the macroinitiator was poor, whereas the seeded polymerization itself (i.e. after the initiation) proceeded without major loss of livingness. It was speculated that low blocking efficiency was mainly caused by a high bimolecular termination rate at the very initial stage of the seeded polymerization due to the high activation rate coefficient of PiBMA-Br at 70 °C ($k_{act} = 5.27 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) [51].

In order to improve the blocking efficiency, the amount of ascorbic acid was reduced from 100% to 80% (relative to the initial molar amount of $CuBr_2$) in the seeded polymerization. This would lead to an increase in the ratio $[CuBr_2]/[CuBr]$ in the droplets/particles, and consequently a lower propagating radical concentration and less termination. The blocking efficiency was slightly improved to 49%




Fig. 6. MWDs (a) M_n (open circles) and M_w/M_n (closed circles) (b) of PiBMA-*b*-PS prepared at various conversions of second step AGET ATRP of S with PiBMA seed particles at 40 °C for 2 h followed by 70 °C. [CuBr₂]/[AsA] (equivalent ratio) = 1/0.8. The full line and dashed line MWDs are attained from RI and UV detectors, respectively. Full line (b) is $M_{n,th}$.

(81% conversion) using this approach, but sea-island morphology was nonetheless obtained.

An alternative approach was subsequently adopted, which entailed carrying out the seeded polymerization at 40 °C for the first 2 h, followed by the remainder of the polymerization at 70 °C as before. During the initial 2 h at 40 °C, the polymer end group would change from PiBMA-Br to PiBMA-S-Br, and k_{act} of the latter (PS-Br) is approximately 50 times lower than that of the former (PiBMA-Br) at 70 °C [52]. Using this approach, the blocking efficiency increased to 55% (conversion 91%), resulting in the formation of a multilayered structure in the very outer shell region of the particles, whereas the interior still exhibited a sea-island structure.

Finally, the two strategies were employed simultaneously. A reduction in the amount of ascorbic acid would cause a reduction in the propagating radical concentration, i.e. a reduction in the polymerization rate. However, as shown in Fig. 2, using this approach, the seeded polymerization proceeded to high conversion with a similar polymerization rate as before using the strategies, reaching 94% conversion in 16 h. This would be caused by the increase in polymerization rate afforded by the increased blocking efficiency (Fig. 5), i.e. the increase in the macroinitiator concentration.




Fig. 7. TEM photograph of whole (a) and ultrathin cross-sections (b) of RuO₄-stained PiBMA-*b*-PS particles prepared by two-step AGET ATRP of S using PiBMA-Br seed particles at 40 °C for 2 h followed by 70 °C. [CuBr₂]/[AsA] (equivalent ratio) = 1/0.8.

As shown in Fig. 6, as anticipated, the size of the low molecular weight peak as well as M_w/M_n was markedly reduced using this strategy. Moreover, the blocking efficiency was significantly improved (61% at 71% conversion) (Fig. 5) – the livingness was considerably higher than before (47% at 73% conversion).

The obtained composite polymer particles have a broad particle size distribution as shown in Fig. 7(a). The number-average particle diameter (D_n) and coefficient of variation (C_v) were 2.6 μm and 40%, respectively. TEM analysis of ultrathin cross-sections of the composite particles, which have a broad size distribution as shown in Fig. 7(a), at 94% conversion (Fig. 7(b)) reveals that “onion-like” multilayered particles had indeed been formed, demonstrating the importance of a sufficiently high blocking efficiency in the seeded polymerization.

4. Conclusions

Multilayered composite polymer particles comprising mainly PiBMA-*b*-PS have been prepared by two-step AGET ATRP employing ascorbic acid as reducing agent in aqueous micro-suspension. The blocking efficiency, i.e. the number fraction of block copolymer formed in the second step AGET ATRP, was shown to greatly influence the morphology. When the blocking efficiency was too low (approximately 40%), a disordered sea-island morphology was

obtained. Low blocking efficiency was attributed to an excessively high activation rate at the early stage of the seeded polymerization, resulting in extensive bimolecular termination. In order to increase the blocking efficiency, the activation rate was suitably reduced by lowering the polymerization temperature and reducing the amount of ascorbic acid. In this way, sufficiently high blocking efficiency for formation of multilayered morphology was obtained while maintaining a high polymerization rate.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by a Kobe University Takuetsu-shita Research Project.

References

- [1] Huang MR, Peng QY, Li XG. *Chem Eur J* 2006;12:4341–50.
- [2] Li XG, Sun J, Huang MR. *Prog Chem* 2007;19:787–95.
- [3] Li XG, Ma XL, Sun J, Huang MR. *Langmuir* 2009;25:1675–84.
- [4] Sundberg DC, Durant YG. *Polym React Eng* 2003;11:379–432.
- [5] Okubo M, Yamada A, Matsumoto T. *J Polym Sci Polym Chem Ed* 1980;16:3219–28.
- [6] Okubo M, Ando M, Yamada A, Katsuta Y, Matsumoto T. *J Polym Sci Polym Lett Ed* 1981;19:143–7.
- [7] Okubo M, Katsuta Y, Matsumoto T. *J Polym Sci Polym Lett Ed* 1982;20:45–51.
- [8] Lee DI, Ishikawa T. *J Polym Sci Polym Chem Ed* 1983;21:147–54.
- [9] Min TI, Klein A, El-Aasser MS, Vanderhoff JW. *J Polym Sci Polym Lett Ed* 1983;21:2845–61.
- [10] Muroi S, Hashimoto H, Hosoi K. *J Polym Sci Polym Chem Ed* 1984;22:1365–72.
- [11] Cho I, Lee K-W. *J Appl Polym Sci* 1985;30:1903–26.
- [12] Okubo M. *Makromol Chem Macromol Symp* 1990;35/36:307–25.
- [13] Sheu HR, El-Aasser MS, Vanderhoff JW. *J Polym Sci Part A Polym Chem* 1990;28:653–67.
- [14] Kim SH, Yi GR, Kim KH, Yang SM. *Langmuir* 2008;24:2365–71.
- [15] Jeon SJ, Yi GR, Koo CM, Yang SM. *Macromolecules* 2007;40:8430–9.
- [16] Siwick BJ, Kalinina O, Kumacheva E, Millar RJD, Noolandi J. *J Appl Phys* 2001;90:5328–34.
- [17] Pham HH, Gourevich I, Oh JK, Jonkman JEN, Kumacheva E. *Adv Mater* 2004;16:516–20.
- [18] Okubo M, Takekoh R, Sugano H. *Colloid Polym Sci* 2000;278:559–64.
- [19] Gourevich I, Field LM, Wei Z, Paquet C, Petukhova A, Altheheld A, et al. *Macromolecules* 2006;39:1449–54.
- [20] Okubo M, Izumi J, Takekoh R. *Colloid Polym Sci* 1999;277:875–80.
- [21] Okubo M, Takekoh R, Izumi J. *Colloid Polym Sci* 2001;279:513–8.
- [22] Yorimitsu H, Wakabayashi K, Shinokubo H, Oshima K. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn* 2001;74:1963–70.
- [23] Okubo M, Takekoh R, Saito N. *Colloid Polym Sci* 2003;281:945–50.
- [24] Okubo M, Saito N, Takekoh R, Kobayashi H. *Polymer* 2005;46:1151–6.
- [25] Szwarc M, Levy M, Milkovich R. *J Am Chem Soc* 1956;78:2656–7.
- [26] Braunecker WA, Matyjaszewski K. *Prog Polym Sci* 2007;32:93–146.
- [27] Zetterlund PB, Kagawa K, Okubo M. *Chem Rev* 2008;108:3747–94.
- [28] Cunningham MF. *Prog Polym Sci* 2008;33:365–98.
- [29] Georges MK, Veregin RPN, Kazmaier PM, Hamer GK. *Macromolecules* 1993;26:2987–8.
- [30] Hawker CJ, Bosman AW, Harth E. *Chem Rev* 2001;101:3661–88.
- [31] Wang J, Matyjaszewski K. *J Am Chem Soc* 1995;117:5614–5.
- [32] Kato M, Kamigaito M, Sawamoto M, Higashimura T. *Macromolecules* 1995;28:1721–3.
- [33] Kamigaito K, Ando T, Sawamoto M. *Chem Rev* 2001;101:3689–745.
- [34] Matyjaszewski K. *Advances in controlled/living radical polymerization*. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society; 2003.
- [35] Chiefari J, Chong YK, Ercole F, Krstina J, Jeffery J, Le TPT, et al. *Macromolecules* 1998;31:5559–62.
- [36] Moad G, Rizzardo E, Thang SH. *Aust J Chem* 2005;58:379–410.
- [37] Moad G, Rizzardo E, Thang SH. *Aust J Chem* 2006;59:669–92.
- [38] Moad G, Rizzardo E, Thang SH. *Polymer* 2008;49:1079–131.
- [39] Yamago S, Iida K, Yoshida J. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:13666–7.
- [40] Sugihara Y, Kagawa Y, Yamago S, Okubo M. *Macromolecules* 2007;40:9208–11.
- [41] Okubo M, Sugihara Y, Kitayama Y, Kagawa Y, Minami H. *Macromolecules* 2009;42:1979–84.
- [42] Yamago S. *J Polym Sci Part A Polym Chem* 2006;44:1–12.
- [43] Kagawa Y, Minami H, Okubo M, Zhou J. *Polymer* 2005;46:1045–9.
- [44] Okubo M, Minami H, Zhou J. *Colloid Polym Sci* 2004;282:747–52.
- [45] Min K, Gao H, Matyjaszewski K. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:3825–30.
- [46] Li W, Min K, Matyjaszewski K, Stoffelbach F, Charleux B. *Macromolecules* 2008;41:6387–92.
- [47] Stoffelbach F, Griffete N, Bui C, Charleux B. *Chem Commun* 2008:4807–9.
- [48] Stoffelbach F, Tibiletti L, Rieger J, Charleux B. *Macromolecules* 2008;41:7850–6.
- [49] Tanaka H, Hasegawa H, Hashimoto T. *Macromolecules* 1991;24:240–51.
- [50] Whitmore MD, Noolandi J. *Macromolecules* 1985;18:2486–97.
- [51] Pintauer T, Braunecker W, Collange E, Poli R, Matyjaszewski K. *Macromolecules* 2004;37:2679–82.
- [52] Goto A, Fukuda T. *Macromol Rapid Commun* 1999;20:633–6.